

# A Proof that the Double Integral and Iterated Integral over a Rectangle are Equal

October 4, 2004

Let  $R$  be a rectangle in the plane defined by  $x \in [c, d], y \in [a, b]$ . Suppose that  $f(x, y)$  is a continuous function on  $R$ . We want to show that

$$\iint_R f(x, y) dA = \int_c^d \int_a^b f(x, y) dy dx.$$

The proof will not depend on the order of integration in  $x$  and  $y$ , so reversing their roles in the subsequent proof shows that the integral is unchanged when integrating first with respect to  $x$  and then  $y$ .

We begin by fixing some notation. Let  $(x_0, \dots, x_m)$  be the endpoints of a partition of  $[c, d]$  into  $m$  parts. So in particular,  $x_0 = c$  and  $x_m = d$ . Similarly, let  $(y_0, \dots, y_n)$  be the endpoints of a partition of  $[a, b]$ . This gives a partition of the rectangle  $R$  into  $mn$  cells.

Roughly, we know that as this partition gets finer and finer, i.e.  $mn$  gets large, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j) \Delta y_j \Delta x_i \approx \iint_R f(x, y) dA$$

Precisely, we mean that since  $f$  is continuous, the limit of the Riemann sums exists:

$$\lim_{mn \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j) \Delta y_j \Delta x_i = \iint_R f(x, y) dA.$$

Recall that this just means that given any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $N$  such that if  $mn > N$  then

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j) \Delta y_j \Delta x_i - \iint_R f(x, y) dA \right| < \epsilon. \quad (1)$$

Now we want to use our intuition about the double sum. We notice that the inner sum looks like a Riemann sum, which approximates a single integral. In fact, for fixed index  $i$ ,  $f(x_i, y)$  is a continuous function of  $y$  so we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j) \Delta y_j = \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy$$

Because this limit exists, we again use the definition of the limit at infinity to conclude that, given any small number  $\epsilon' > 0$ , then for  $n$  large enough,

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_i, y_j) \Delta y_j - \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy \right| < \epsilon'.$$

So substituting this result into the expression (1) we have, for any  $\epsilon' > 0$

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy \right) \Delta x_i - \iint_R f(x, y) dA \right| + \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon' \Delta x_i < \epsilon.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon' \Delta x_i = (d - c) \epsilon'$$

since the sum over  $\Delta x_i$  is just a partition of the interval  $[c, d]$ . By choosing  $\epsilon'$  small enough, we can guarantee that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy \right) \Delta x_i - \iint_R f(x, y) dA \right| < \epsilon$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

But this means that by definition,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy \right) \Delta x_i = \iint_R f(x, y) dA.$$

The left-hand side of this equation is a Riemann sum:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy \right) \Delta x_i = \int_c^d \left( \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy \right) dx$$

Comparing the two equalities, we conclude

$$\iint_R f(x, y) dA = \int_c^d \left( \int_a^b f(x_i, y) dy \right) dx.$$